Glen R. Dorrough
UNITED STATES COURT REPORTER



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

might just discuss this here because it kind of jumps to the forefront in one's mind when one reads New Mexico. The problem I'm having as I sit here -- and granted I'm new to this party -- I'm not clear and from reading defendants' answers up until now it doesn't appear defendants were clear that CERCLA even applies here. And if you look at Judge Joyner's motion or order with regard to the facility issue, he declined to rule on this idea that, what, a million acres constituted a facility. So I'm looking at this right now with a lot of skepticism that New Mexico even applies because I'm not certain that CERCLA applies. So you know, I can understand, Mr. George, your eagerness to jump on New Mexico because as I sit here right now, and most of you-all have appeared before me, I can have my opinion changed, but as Mr. Riggs knows, I mean, I like oral argument because a lot of matters are clarified in oral argument. But as I read New Mexico, it seems if CERCLA does

But as I read <u>New Mexico</u>, it seems if CERCLA does apply, that punitive damages are out, that attorney fees are questionable, that federal common law is out. So that's one of the issues here that begs the question, well, maybe plaintiffs want to jettison CERCLA. At which point defendants are put into a very interesting position of arguing, Judge, CERCLA applies and potentially going to the EPA and saying this ought to be a Superfund site. I doubt you're going to do that but, I mean, this is a very interesting issue. I mean, can we all